

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS**

KIRKSTON SPANN II and ROCHELLE
LAMPKIN, *on behalf of themselves and all
others similarly situated,*

Plaintiffs,

v.

SUPERIOR AIR-GROUND AMBULANCE
SERVICE, INC.,

Defendant.

Case No: 1:24-cv-04704

Judge Matthew F. Kennelly

FINAL APPROVAL ORDER

Before the Court is Plaintiffs' Unopposed Motion for Final Approval of Class Action Settlement and Memorandum in Support ("Motion for Final Approval"), which seeks approval of the Settlement as fair, reasonable, and adequate. Also before the Court is Plaintiffs' Motion for Attorneys' Fees, Costs, and Service Awards to Plaintiffs ("Motion for Attorneys' Fees and Service Awards").¹ Having reviewed and considered the Settlement Agreement, Motion for Final Approval, and Motion for Attorneys' Fees and Service Awards, and having conducted a Final Approval Hearing, the Court makes the following findings and grants the relief set forth below approving the Settlement upon the terms and conditions set forth herein.

WHEREAS, on November 14, 2024, the Court entered an Order Granting Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement ("Preliminary Approval Order") (ECF No. 30) which, among other things: (a) conditionally certified this matter as a class action, including defining the class and class claims, (b) appointed Plaintiffs as the Settlement Class Representatives and appointed

¹ Unless otherwise indicated, words spelled in this Order and Judgment Granting Final Approval of Class Action Settlement ("Final Order and Judgment") with initial capital letters have the same meaning as set forth in the Settlement Agreement.

Gary M. Klinger of Milberg Coleman Bryson Phillips Grossman PLLC and David S. Almeida of Almeida Law Group LLC as Settlement Class Counsel; (c) preliminarily approved the Settlement Agreement; (d) approved the form and manner of Notice to the Settlement Class; (d) set deadlines for opt-outs and objections; (e) approved and appointed Epiq Global as the Settlement Administrator; and (f) set the date for the Final Approval Hearing;

WHEREAS, on December 30, 2024, pursuant to the Notice requirements and obligations set forth in the Settlement Agreement and in the Preliminary Approval Order, Epiq began the process of notifying the Settlement Class of the terms of the proposed Settlement Agreement. The Court-approved Notice informed Settlement Class Members of, among other things, their right to opt-out or to object to the Settlement Agreement as well as to be heard at a Final Approval Hearing;

WHEREAS, on March 25, 2025, the Court held a Final Approval Hearing to determine, *inter alia*: (i) whether the terms and conditions of the Settlement Agreement are fair, reasonable, and adequate for the release of the claims contemplated by the Settlement Agreement and (ii) whether judgment should be entered dismissing this action with prejudice. Prior to the Final Approval Hearing, a declaration of compliance with the provisions of the Settlement Agreement and Preliminary Approval Order relating to notice was filed with the Court as required by the Preliminary Approval Order. Therefore, the Court is satisfied that Settlement Class Members were properly notified of their right to appear at the Final Approval Hearing in support of or in opposition to the proposed Settlement Agreement and the Motion for Attorneys' Fees and Service Awards;

WHEREAS, the Court not being required to conduct a trial on the merits of the case or determine with certainty the factual and legal issues in dispute when determining whether to approve a proposed class action settlement; and

WHEREAS, the Court being required under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(e) to make the findings and conclusions hereinafter set forth for the limited purpose of determining whether the settlement should be approved as being fair, reasonable, adequate, and in the best interests of the Settlement Class;

Having given an opportunity to be heard to all requesting persons in accordance with the Preliminary Approval Order, having heard the presentation of Settlement Class Counsel and Defendant's Counsel, having reviewed all of the submissions presented with respect to the proposed Settlement Agreement, having determined that the Settlement Agreement is fair, adequate, and reasonable, having considered the application made by Settlement Class Counsel for attorneys' fees and costs and the application for a Service Award to the Representative Plaintiffs, and having reviewed the materials in support thereof, and good cause appearing:

IT IS ORDERED that:

1. The Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action and over all claims raised therein and all Parties thereto, including the Settlement Class.
2. The Settlement involves allegations in Plaintiffs' Class Action Complaint against Defendant for failure to implement or to maintain adequate data security measures and safeguards to protect Private Information, which Plaintiffs allege directly and proximately caused injuries to Plaintiffs and Settlement Class Members.
3. The Settlement does not constitute an admission of liability by Defendant and the Court expressly does not make any finding of liability or wrongdoing by Defendant.
4. The Court, having reviewed the terms of the Settlement Agreement submitted by the Parties pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(e)(2), grants final approval of the

Settlement Agreement and for purposes of the Settlement Agreement and this Final Order and Judgment only, the Court hereby finally certifies the following Settlement Class:

All individuals residing in the United States whose Private Information was accessed and/or acquired by an unauthorized party as a result of the data breach that occurred within Defendant's computers systems in May 2023.

Excluded from the Settlement Class are (i) Superior Air-Ground Ambulance Service, Inc. and its parents, subsidiaries, officers and directors, and any entity in which Defendant has a controlling interest; (ii) all Persons who submit a timely and valid Request for Exclusion from the Settlement Class; (iii) the Judge assigned to this litigation as well as their immediate family and staff; and (iv) any person found by a court of competent jurisdiction to be guilty under criminal law of initiating, causing, aiding or abetting the criminal activity occurrence of the Security Incident, or who pleads *nolo contendere* to any such charge.

5. The Settlement was entered into in good faith following arm's length negotiations and is non-collusive. The Settlement is in the best interests of the Settlement Class and is therefore approved. The Court finds that the Parties faced significant risks, expenses, delays, and uncertainties, including as to the outcome in continued litigation or on appeal of this complex matter, which further supports the Court's finding that the Settlement Agreement is fair, reasonable, adequate, and in the best interests of the Settlement Class Members. The Court finds that the uncertainties of continued litigation in both the trial and appellate courts, as well as the delays and expenses associated with it, weigh in favor of approval of the Settlement.

6. The Settlement Agreement provides, in part, and subject to a more detailed description of the settlement terms in the Settlement Agreement, for:

- a. Claims Administration as outlined in the Settlement Agreement whereby Settlement Class Members can submit claims that will be evaluated by a Settlement Administrator.

- b. Defendant to pay all costs of Claims Administration from the Settlement Fund, including the cost of the Settlement Administrator instituting Notice, processing and administering claims, and preparing and mailing checks.
- c. Defendant to pay, subject to the approval and award of the Court, the reasonable attorneys' fees and expenses of Class Counsel and Service Awards to the Class Representative from the Settlement Fund.

The Court readopts and incorporates herein by reference its preliminary conclusions as to the satisfaction of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(a) and (b)(3) set forth in the Preliminary Approval Order and notes that because this certification of the Settlement Class is in connection with the Settlement Agreement rather than litigation, the Court need not address any issues of manageability that may be presented by certification of the class proposed in the Settlement Agreement.

7. The terms of the Settlement Agreement are fair, adequate, and reasonable and are hereby approved, adopted, and incorporated by the Court. Notice of the terms of the Settlement, the rights of Settlement Class Members under the Settlement, the Final Approval Hearing, Plaintiffs' application for attorneys' fees and expenses, and the Service Award payment to the Class Representatives have been provided to Settlement Class Members as directed by this Court's Orders, and proof of Notice has been filed with the Court.

8. The Court finds that the notice program, set forth in the Settlement Agreement and effectuated pursuant to the Preliminary Approval Order, was the best notice practicable under the circumstances, was reasonably calculated to provide and did provide due and sufficient notice to the Settlement Class of the pendency of the Action, certification of the Settlement Class for settlement purposes only, the existence and terms of the Settlement Agreement, and their right to object and to appear at the final approval hearing or to exclude themselves from the Settlement

Agreement, and satisfied the requirements of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the United States Constitution, and other applicable law

9. The Court finds that Defendant has fully complied with the notice requirements of the Class Action Fairness Act of 2005, 28 U.S.C. § 1715.

10. As of the deadline to opt-out of the Settlement, fifteen potential Settlement Class Members requested to be excluded from the Settlement and one potential Settlement Class Member objected to the Settlement (ECF No. 47). Their unique Class Member identifiers are set forth in Attachment 7 to the supporting declaration of the Settlement Administrator. (ECF No. 40). Those persons are not bound by the Settlement Agreement and this Final Order and Judgment and shall not be entitled to any of the benefits or obligations afforded to the Settlement Class Members under the Settlement Agreement, as set forth in the Settlement Agreement.

11. All Settlement Class Members who have not objected to the Settlement Agreement in the manner provided in the Settlement Agreement are deemed to have waived any objections by appeal, collateral attack, or otherwise.

12. The Court has considered all the documents filed in support of the Settlement, and has fully considered all matters raised, all exhibits and affidavits filed, all evidence received at the Final Approval Hearing, all other papers and documents comprising the record herein, and all oral arguments presented to the Court.

13. The Parties, their respective attorneys, and the Claims Administrator are hereby directed to consummate the Settlement in accordance with this Final Order and Judgment and the terms of the Settlement Agreement.

14. Pursuant to the Settlement Agreement, Defendant, the Claims Administrator, and Settlement Class Counsel shall implement the Settlement in the manner and timeframe as set forth therein.

15. Within the time period set forth in the Settlement Agreement, the relief provided for in the Settlement Agreement shall be made available to the various Settlement Class Members submitting valid Claim Forms, pursuant to the terms and conditions of the Settlement Agreement.

16. Pursuant to and as further described in the Settlement Agreement, Plaintiffs and the Settlement Class Members release claims as follows:

From any and all past, present, and future claims and causes of action related to the Security Incident, including, but not limited to, any causes of action arising under or premised upon any statute, constitution, law, ordinance, treaty, regulation, or common law of any country, state, province, county, city, or municipality, including 15 U.S.C. § 45, et seq., and all similar statutes in effect in any states in the United States as defined below; state consumer-protection statutes; negligence; negligence per se; breach of contract; breach of implied contract; breach of third-party beneficiary contract; breach of fiduciary duty; breach of confidence; invasion of privacy; fraud; misrepresentation (whether fraudulent, negligent or innocent); unjust enrichment; bailment; wantonness; failure to provide adequate notice pursuant to any breach notification statute or common law duty; and including, but not limited to, any and all claims for damages, injunctive relief, disgorgement, declaratory relief or judgment, equitable relief, attorneys' fees and expenses, pre-judgment interest, credit monitoring services, the creation of a fund for future damages, statutory damages, punitive damages, special damages, exemplary damages, restitution, and/or the appointment of a receiver, whether known or unknown, liquidated or unliquidated, accrued or unaccrued, fixed or contingent, direct or derivative, and any other form of legal or equitable relief that either has been asserted, was asserted, or could have been asserted, by any Settlement Class Member against any of the Released Parties based on, relating to, concerning or arising out of the alleged Security Incident or the allegations, transactions, occurrences, facts, or circumstances alleged in or otherwise described in the Action. Released Claims shall not include the right of any Settlement Class Member or any of the Released Parties to enforce the terms of the settlement contained in this Settlement Agreement, and shall not

include the claims of any Person who has timely excluded themselves from the Class.

17. The Court grants final approval to the appointment of Plaintiffs as Class Representatives. The Court concludes that Class Representatives have fairly and adequately represented the Settlement Class and will continue to do so.

18. Pursuant to the Settlement Agreement, and in recognition of their efforts on behalf of the Settlement Class, the Court approves a payment in the amount of \$2,500.00 each for Plaintiffs Kirkston Spann II and Rochelle Lampkin. The Service Awards shall be paid from the Settlement Fund.

19. The Court grants final approval to the appointment of Gary M. Klinger of Milberg Coleman Bryson Phillips Grossman PLLC and David S. Almeida of Almeida Law Group LLC as Settlement Class Counsel. The Court concludes that Settlement Class Counsel has adequately represented the Settlement Class and will continue to do so.

20. The Court, after careful review of the Motion for Attorneys' Fees and Service Awards filed by Class Counsel, and after applying the appropriate standards required by relevant case law, hereby grants Settlement Class Counsel's application for attorneys' fees in the amount of \$1,000,000 or 30.7% of the Settlement Fund. Reasonable costs and expenses of \$13,101.25 are also hereby awarded. Payment shall be made pursuant to the terms of the Settlement Agreement.

21. This Final Order and Judgment and the Settlement Agreement, and all acts, statements, documents, or proceedings relating to the Settlement Agreement are not, and shall not be construed as, used as, or deemed to be evidence of, an admission by or against Defendant of any claim, any fact alleged in the Action, any fault, any wrongdoing, any violation of law, or any liability of any kind on the part of Defendant's or of the validity or certifiability for litigation of any claims that have been, or could have been, asserted in the lawsuit. This Final Order and

Judgment, the Settlement Agreement, and all acts, statements, documents, or proceedings relating to the Settlement Agreement shall not be offered or received or be admissible in evidence in any action or proceeding, or be used in any way as an admission or concession or evidence of any liability or wrongdoing of any nature or that Plaintiffs, any Settlement Class Member, or any other person has suffered any damage; provided, however, that the Settlement Agreement and this Final Order and Judgment may be filed in any action by Defendant, Settlement Class Counsel, or Settlement Class Members seeking to enforce the Settlement Agreement or the Final Order and Judgment (including, but not limited to, enforcing the releases contained herein). The Settlement Agreement and Final Order and Judgment shall not be construed or admissible as an admission by Defendant that Plaintiffs' claims or any similar claims are suitable for class treatment. The Settlement Agreement's terms shall be forever binding on, and shall have res judicata and preclusive effect in, all pending and future lawsuits or other proceedings as to Released Claims and other prohibitions set forth in this Final Order and Judgment that are maintained by, or on behalf of, any Settlement Class Member or any other person subject to the provisions of this Final Order and Judgment.

22. If the Effective Date, as defined in the Settlement Agreement, does not occur for any reason, this Final Order and Judgment and the Preliminary Approval Order shall be deemed vacated, and shall have no force and effect whatsoever; the Settlement Agreement shall be considered null and void; all of the Parties' obligations under the Settlement Agreement, the Preliminary Approval Order, and this Final Order and Judgment and the terms and provisions of the Settlement Agreement shall have no further force and effect with respect to the Parties and shall not be used in the Action or in any other proceeding for any purpose, and any judgment or order entered by the Court in accordance with the terms of the Settlement Agreement shall be

treated as vacated nunc pro tunc, and the Parties shall be restored to their respective positions in the Action, as if the Parties never entered into the Settlement Agreement (without prejudice to any of the Parties' respective positions on the issue of class certification or any other issue). In such event, the Parties will jointly request that all scheduled Action deadlines be reasonably extended by the Court so as to avoid prejudice to any Party or Party's counsel. Further, in such event, Defendant will pay amounts already billed or incurred for costs of notice to the Settlement Class, and Claims Administration, and will not, at any time, seek recovery of same from any other Party to the Action or from counsel to any other Party to the Action.

23. Pursuant to *Kokkonen v. Guardian Life Insurance Company of America*, 511 U.S. 375, 382 (1994), and the parties' agreement, this Court shall retain the authority to issue any order necessary to protect its jurisdiction from any action, whether in state or federal court.

24. Without affecting the finality of this Final Order and Judgment, the Court will retain jurisdiction over the subject matter and the Parties with respect to the interpretation and implementation of the Settlement Agreement for all purposes.

25. This Order resolves all claims against all Parties in this action and is a final order and judgment.

26. The matter is hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs except as provided in the Settlement Agreement.

SO ORDERED THIS 2nd DAY OF April, 2025.



Hon. Matthew F. Kennelly
United States District Court Judge